Appendix C

Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model Evaluation

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

- (1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
- (2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
- (3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in Column B.
- (4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
- (5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
- (6) From the <u>LCC Scoring Table</u> below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	lle	lls,w	llle	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.

- (8) Sum the LCC scores in Column F.
- (9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the Final LESA Score Sheet on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

- (1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
- (2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
- (3) Sum the Storie Index scores in Column H to gain the Storie Index Score.
- (4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the Final LESA Score Sheet on page 10-A.



Land Evaluation Worksheet

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.



Notes: Soil Map Unit, LCC, LCC Rating, LCC Class and Storie Index were derived from the NRCS Web Soil Survey (https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx)

Updated 2011

LESA Worksheet (cont.)

<u>NOTES</u>

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I**, J or K - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).

(2) Sum Column I to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.

(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.

(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.

(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine

which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		Clas	s III	Class IV or Lower		
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100	
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80	
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60	
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40	
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20	
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0	
		10-19	10			
		10<	0			

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the <u>Water Resources Availability Scoring Table</u>, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

А	В	С	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigation district and groundwater	1	50	50
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum	Total Water	
		to 1.0)	Resource Score	50

Notes: It was assumed that the site is currently irrigated because the site is located within the Center for Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems. No information was available regarding groundwater resources. Thus, it was conservatively assumed that the site could potentially be fed by groundwater. The Water Availability Score was based on irrigation production not being feasible during drought years and the presence of no physical or economic restrictions to irrigation during non-drought years.

Updated 2011

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

		Non-Drought Years	S				
Option		RESTRICTIONS			WATER RESOURCE		
	Irrigated	Physical	Economic	Irrigated	Physical	Economic	
	Production	Restrictions	Restrictions	Production	Restrictions	Restrictions	SCORE
	Feasible?	?	?	Feasible?	?	?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO			50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO			45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO			35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO			30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland						25
	production in both drought and non-drought years						
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland					20	
	production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:

(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.

(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends <u>one quarter mile</u> on all sides beyond the first rectangle.

(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.

(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.

(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels

(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.

(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the <u>Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring</u> <u>Table</u> below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the Final LESA Score Sheet on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

А	В	С	D	E	F	G
			Surrounding			
Total Acres	Acres in	Acres of Protoctod	Percent in	Percent Protoctod	Surrounding	Protected
	Agriculture	Resource	Agriculture	Resource L and	I and Score	L and Score
		Land	(A/B)	(A/C)	(From Table)	(From Table)
211	10	11	5	5	0	0

Notes: The total acres value for the Zone of Influence (ZOI) was calculated using Google Earth. The acres in agriculture value was calculated by identifying and measuring agrigultural patches located within the ZOI using Google Earth. The acres of protected resource land value was calculated by identifying and measuring the portion of the Ranch View Terrace Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) located within the ZOI using Google Earth.

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.

(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.

(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.

(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the <u>Surrounding Protected Resource</u> Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI	Protected Resource
Protected	Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the Final LESA Score Sheet on page 10-A.

LESA Worksheet (cont.)

<u>NOTES</u>

Based on the Final LESA Score of 46.4, the LE Subtotal of 38.9, and the SA Subtotal of 7.5, the site would not be considered significant.

Final LESA Scores between 40 and 59 points are considered significant only if the LE and SA Subtotal scores are each greater than or equal to 20 points.

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.

(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.

(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.

(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	^{<1>} 70	0.25	17.5
Storie Index	<2> 85.7	0.25	21.4
LE Subtotal		0.50	38.9
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 50	0.15	7.5
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5>	0.15	0
Protected Resource Land	<6>	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		0.50	7.5
		Final LESA Score	46.4

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.